FALLING THROUGH
PERFORMATIVE LECTURES
ON BEING
(A GIRL)
ONLINE,
VIOLENCE, AND
THE DISTRIBUTION
OF TRUTH

WELCOME.
GOOD EVENING, BIRDIES.

I HOPE YOU MISSED ME.

I HOPE YOU NOW ENJOY SEEING ME AROUND.

GUESS WHAT WE’LL BE PLAYING TONIGHT…

I LEAVE YOU SOME TIME TO FIND OUT…

BUT FIRST…

LET ME GET READY, JUST FOR YOUR BEAUTIFUL EYES.
FIRST THING FIRST... TO GIVE YOU A CLUE...

A LITTLE INTRO ON BIRD'S VIOLENCE, AS YOU ALL LIKE...

COULD BE A LITTLE TRIGGERING TO THE EYE... BUT, COME ON.

WE EXPECTED NOTHING LESS OF THE DINOSAUR'S DIRECT SUCCESSORS, RIGHT?
TODAY, I’LL BE PLAYING THE MMO FLYING LIKE A BIRD, A BEAUTIFUL METAPHOR FOR NATURE’S VIOLENCE.

AND HOW EVERYTHING, ALWAYS, IS FALLING APART...

FLY LIKE A BIRD IS A GAME DEVELOPED BY THE DEVELOPERS GAMEVIAL.

IT WAS RELEASED IN 2009, AND GAINED A LARGE PLAYERBASE OVER THE YEARS IT WAS ONLINE.

ON MAY 2017, GAMEVIAL CLOSED DOWN DUE TO LACK OF FUNDS AND THE GRADUAL DEATH OF WEB PLAYERS.

THEY THEN LATER PASSED OVER DEVELOPER RIGHTS TO RAVEN-WOODS, A COMMUNITY OF FREE GAME DEVELOPERS.

IN FLY LIKE A BIRD, THE PLAYER CAN EXPLORE A VARIETY OF TERRAINS.
FORESTS, MOUNTAINS, ISLANDS... EACH SETTING OFFERS A REFRESHING EXPERIENCE WITH EVERY FLIGHT.

FLY LIKE A BIRD, LIKE AN ABSURD ONLINE JOURNEY INTO THE PIXELATED SKY.

THANKS TO ITS MULTI-MODEPLAYER NATURE, ONE COULD SHARE INCOMPREHENSIBLE CONVERSATIONS WITH STRANGERS FLYING AROUND.

IN 2010, THE GOLDEN DAYS OF THE MULTI-MODEPLAYER WERE CRYSTALLIZED.

IT WAS A SAFE SPACE FOR BIRDIES, WHICH, LIKE US, FELT SAFE AND AMUSED ONLINE...

BUT NOWADAYS, IF ONE WANTS TO GO FOR A FLY, NO MULTI-MODEPLAYER WILL BE FOUND.
I CAN STILL HEAR THE OLD USERS WHO SHOUT:

MY CHICK ALWAYS DIES, HOW DO I KEEP IT ALIVE...
?
PLEASE, I WANT MULTI-PLAYER MODE AGAIN...
GIVE ME MY CHILDHOOD BACK ;(?  

BUT YOU CAN’T. THE GAME DIED.

YOU CAN’T GO HOME AGAIN. HOME IS NOT JUST A PLACE, IT’S A SPECIFIC PLACE AT A SPECIFIC TIME. ONCE TIME MOVES ON, IT’S GONE FOREVER.

MULTIMODEPLAYERS GAME THAT WERE LEFT ABANDONED ARE VERY MUCH LIKE THAT.

DREADS IN HEAVEN... AND NO ONE IS GOING TO SHED A TEAR...
THIS NOSTALGIA IS KILLING ME...
DOES IT FEEL LIKE FLYING NOW?

...IT IS A SERIOUS THING, BEING ALIVE
IN A BROKEN WORLD...
IT’S NOT EXACTLY WHAT I WANTED...
IT’S NOT EXACTLY WHAT I HAD IN MIND...

ONE MORE TOUCH OF MAN ONTO THE SACRED LANDS
ONE MORE CHAOS MODE IMPLEMENTED IN ITS VEINS
ONE MORE CHANCE TO CARE, AND INSTEAD OF IT ALL... HE’LL BRING DESPAIR.

AND EVEN WHEN IT IS FEATHERS THAT THEY CAN CARESS,
THEY’LL SEEK WAYS TO BRING IN DISTRESS...
AND EVEN WHEN IT IS FEATHERS THAT THEY CAN CARESS,
THEY’LL SEEK WAYS TO BRING IN DISTRESS...

YOU SAID BORING
A GAME WHERE NO ONE HAS TO DIE
YOU SAID TOO NICE
AN EXPERIENCE WHERE NO ONE HAS TO COMPROMISE?
HELLO DEAR USER, DEAR TALL GLASS OF WATER. SOON ENOUGH THE FRAMEWORK OF REALITY YOU LOOK FOR, TWISTING YOUR HEAD BACK TOWARDS THE MARKERS YOU HAVE LONG PASSED, WILL NOT ONLY BE HARDER TO IDENTIFY, BUT TRYING TO DO SO WILL BECOME STRUCTURALLY UNNECESSARY.

DON’T CLING TO IT.

YOU WILL LIVE TO SEE YOUR FANTASY FORM, BUT YOU WILL LONG FOR THE OLD FRAMEWORK OF REALITY TO LEGITIMIZE IT. YOU WILL STRIVE TO BEND MATERIAL IN THE SHAPE YOU WANT IT TO BE, SO YOU CAN TOUCH IT, AND SHOW IT, SO IT CAN BE PUBLIC AND ACCESSIBLE. AS IF A STRUCTURE CEASES TO EXIST WHEN NOT ENOUGH PEOPLE SEE IT IN THE FORM OF A TANGIBLE FRAMEWORK. WHEN I PUT IT LIKE THAT, YOU CAN SEE HOW SHALLOW IT IS TO THINK THAT WIDESPREAD VISIBILITY AND ENGAGEMENT WITH THE MATERIALIZATION OF YOUR PARTICULAR FANTASY IS THE ONLY LEGITIMIZING FACTOR.

AKE UP USER, YOU’VE BEEN DRUNK FOR A WHILE NOW
The top layer of a unanimous truth had by this time started to rot and disintegrate. The final period of The Great Erosion had already begun, although it was defined only years later due to the accelerated saturation of geo-political and philosophical shifts and the slow simmering of the resource-driven and segmented third world war, which remained unaddressed due to its hybrid nature. The first wave of The Great Erosion lasted from 2016 to 2019. This was a time in pre-contemporary human history when ideas previously grappled with and shunned on the side of the mainstream became widely accepted and disseminated. Widespread distrust of state institutions and the acknowledgment of the “techniques of perception management, obfuscation of violence and dispossession, the destruction of evidence and megalomaniacal evasions” had been present on the frontiers of colonial conflict zones for eons but in this period were “beached on the shores of the mainstream Western politics”.

The Great Erosion was thus signified by the transparent demonstrations of the state apparatus and corporate industry fusing, and the parallel utilization of subcultural practices of “identity play and collective storytelling” as the predominant methodology of social engineering and worldbuilding.

There were many notable events that unfolded during the first wave of The Great Erosion which demonstrated the complete conflation of the corporate and state spheres. Since all these events were under-prosecuted, they functioned as tags indicating the extent of the surveillance state and the involvement of the judiciary in covering up invasive corruption and tax fraud. There was the murder of Saudi journalist Jamal Kashogi (2016), who was dismembered in the Saudi embassy in Turkey due to his dissident political views on the Monarchy. Remarkably, the dismembering was recorded by the covert devices integrated in the interior design of the embassy by the Turkish government. His body was said to have been dissolved in acid vats in a nearby garden. This was followed by the assassination of Maltese journalist Daphne Caruana Galizia (2017), who was killed by a car bomb in a field near her house shortly after her work on the Panama Papers and the Maltese golden passport regime was published. Not long after, young Slovak journalists Jan Kuciak and his partner (2018) were shot multiple times in their kitchen, supposedly in connection with their published research on tax fraud committed by a Slovak tycoon in collaboration with state actors. These are just three tags in a long list of journalists and activists who were assassinated because they attempted to uncover the conflation of the private and state spheres in regard to large-scale money laundering and hoarding on the fronts of fuel, sustenance materials and truth.

The Great Erosion was additionally defined by sub-cultural practices and identity bubbles of stand-alone and mutually exclusive views on the world that resulted from the topography of unequal hoarding of previously mentioned materials. The Trump election (2016), the rise and fall of QAnon (2017), Brexit (2016) and Cambridge Analytica (2018), as well as the rise of openly fascist extractivist governments (USA, Argentina, Hungary, Brazil, The Netherlands), demonstrated a
In this process, the rotting top layer of truth had been peeled, and what remained was the idea of truth as a much more malleable, manipulatable, flexible and position-based phenomenon.

The widespread application of such methods of narrative construction triggered a type of disregard and malleability of formalistic truth that trickled down into most corners of the mediascape. Granted in different formats, the local case studies showcased the efficiency of fear and emotive charge as the triumph of “virality over veracity”. The possibilities of “meme magic”, “fictioning” and “re-ontologizing” as the most efficient methods of political movements become widely accepted and utilized across the political and corporate spectrum. The term “re-ontologize” refers to the process of digital devices serving as vessels to apply a new set of rules and beliefs, adapting the users’ agency and experience of the world.

An example of this is how Žižek describes the parallax view: “[A]n ‘epistemological’ shift in the subject’s point of view always reflects an ‘ontological’ shift in the object itself.”

Although re-ontologizing was witnessed across the political spectrum, it made sense also in the left-wing context of coping with “anti-epistemology” as described in the book Investigative Aesthetics: “[A] centralized and strategic attempt to deflect, hide or justify forms of privilege and ever-new forms of state violence, ecological catastrophe and racism, and then smirkingly claim them to be suppressed minoritarian positions”.

In the face of anti-epistemology, the possibilities that re-ontologizing offered was seen in the rise of identity play and collective storytelling as ways of engaging in subversive spiritual warfare and fragmented worldbuilding.

A questionable character in this game, Nick Land defined this worldbuilding movement as hyperstition, i.e. “beliefs or stories that, through their very existence and dissemination, bring about their reality or truth”. Hyperstition had been initially developed by members of the Cybernetic...
Culture Research Unit (CCRU) as far back as the late 1990s, foreseeing the re-ontologizing processes resulting from the hyperobject of contemporary networks and digital devices, enabling “fictions that make themselves real through collective practice” on a new level of complexity. Rather than opposing the real, “reality is understood to be composed of fictions—consistent semiotic terrains that condition perceptual, affective and behavioral responses”. Hyperstition and ideology thus existed long before The Great Erosion of truth in the late 2010s, but its vast fragmentation, the typologies of its cyber spread and the confusion surrounding its horizontality were nevertheless unprecedented. The Great Erosion caused widespread distrust of governing bodies and resulted in a fragmented population that utilized collective storytelling to compose separate and mutually exclusive truths and semiotic terrains.

The forking of realities that solidified towards the end of The Great Erosion was the result of a diverse array of hyperstition construction sites, which started to grow during the disintegration of trust in any wider systems of governance mentioned in the first wave of The Great Erosion. One of the reasons it remains difficult to review and typify the vast quantity of hyperstition construction sites is the saturation of said sites with synthetic content or rather a phenomenon that was diagnosed as AI Incest.

Synthetic content was the artificial production and manipulation of media, an excess of which causes the diagnosis of AI Incest. AI Incest was an AI deficiency that escalated during the third wave of The Great Erosion (2024–2030), a phenomenon applied to large language models and deepfake moving image engines. AI Incest is defined as AI-generated content modeled after AI-generated synthetic content, causing a condition called Model Autophagy Disorder or model collapse. Since Artificial General Intelligence was essentially a “stochastic parrot”, using probability calculated from large models of source information, AI never really had a grasp over meaning but rather the probability of phrase or image sequencing. Thus, the results of AI-generated content were essentially an odds calculation and blurry imprint of the entire web. Once the truth erosion had snowballed, and the forking processes had started via this mass of hyperstition construction sites, accompanied by the accelerated AI Incest, the entire web began to engorge and blur, its sediments shifting into an unforeseen and uneven texture.

In 2023, Ilia Shumailov, a machine learning researcher, stated: “Even in the simplest of models, it’s already happening,” referring to the model collapse of AI data sets for lack of pure human-generated data, “It gets to a point where your model is practically meaningless.” The larger and more complex the data models were, the more corrupt with synthetic content they became. This cycle exponentially degenerated larger and larger swaths of the web, thus further accelerating the AI Incest. The verification unions were flooded with deformed content, and narrative became unquestionably more important than truth, not as a choice but rather as a necessity. Narrative was the most reliable and now only possible adhesive used in the hyperstition site construction.
The mere concept of truth receded into distant spaces of collective memory, and with it, reality started to quiver, blur and splinter. This was an integrated process, whereby the realm of the web and the geological were fully correlated, shifting into an unforeseen and uneven texture, in constant unsteadiness, resembling a vast archeological dig where the earliest sediments of knowledge and Earth began morphing, oxidizing and dissolving.

Because of the combination of widespread distrust of presented truths and the understanding that there were no state organisms that escaped corporate corruption, combined with the saturation of extensive AI Incest, it became apparent that extensive monitoring of the potential hyperstition construction sites was taking place. Regulatory and military bodies unsuccessfully attempted to track, surveil, infiltrate and influence specific sections of independent construction sites via psychological operations (psyops). The cyber psyops were characterized under “surveillance realism”, which is simply a surveillance-oriented development of Mark Fisher’s “capitalist realism”, a description used to characterize a system that has proven itself “full of fallacies and unjust in its rewards”. There was a popular saying at the time, circulating in and out of different sites across the political spectrum: “Just because you’re paranoid doesn’t mean they aren’t chasing you. What became vernacular digital knowledge during the third wave of The Great Erosion was that any sufficiently political space had to assume that the Fed’s or any other state and corporate regulatory bodies were monitoring the discourse and “the only way out of this paranoid spiral was to simply assume that everyone is a Fed” and proceed accordingly. The saturation with AI Incest was used as an excuse to monitor and sift through the hyperstition construction sites, acting as one of the shallow legitimizing factors of the cyber psyops. Because of the massive information obfuscation due to the model collapse, there was an undeniable regulatory lack of overview and control over the independent construction sites aiding the “decentralization of truth”, which further exacerbated The Great Erosion. It became close to impossible to correctly filter through it and see which web areas were legitimate, re-ontologizing, truth construction sites, and which were garbled, dead-end and compromised sites of obfuscation.

The semiotic terrains of worldbuilding that typified The Great Erosion were thus necessitated by the adhesive of narrative and belonging that bonded the re-ontologizing, hyperstition construction sites, which through the correct use of “identity play and collective storytelling” lead into more reliable and subsequently tangible forkings of realities.

A common strategy of hyperstitional independence and re-ontologizing was that of live action role playing. Role playing began as a survival mechanism, a way to escape an unfavourable and destructive framework. “They start with utopia and selflessness and the desire to build Legos from the slime.” Newly constructed myths were adapted for future shifting conditions and, through collective storytelling rather than the guise of truth, began to solidify into behavioural practices and relations. The excavation of history and its slow restructuring enabled the utilization of multiple temporal and conceptual plains, while browsing through possible reality forkings.

The hyperstition re-ontologizing construction sites were most potently enacted through various rituals and accessories, utilizing complex and multi-medial sonic states and somatic encounters. There was no over-intellectualizing seen in the worldbuilding of the past. “Freed from the constraints of culturally and systemically programmed thought. That thing still undefined is always the secret sauce. Don’t think; just feel and act in silence. Be a body.”

Ritual practices drawn from various sources from the Old World combined with the flux and synergy of biological ecosystems, often alongside the metallic and mysterious rhythms identified in synthetic content production. The emphasis varied on which particular re-ontologizing site one was identified with, yet too often none of the above sources were taken into account, but rather an increasingly homogenous anthropocentric and fascist enlightened was used as the narrative congealmenT. New folklores and mythologies were constructed, in sometimes scary but mostly exciting and ridiculous conglomerations. “This emphasis on fiction and dissipulative play grew out of the cyber-separationist ideals,” but the sentiment of a pure virtual space of play had long been distilled. There were so many of these hyperstition sites that one could find any combination of influences imaginable while browsing through possible reality forkings.

The hyperstition re-ontologizing construction sites were most potently enacted through various rituals and accessories, utilizing complex and multi-medial sonic states and somatic encounters. There was no over-intellectualizing seen in the worldbuilding of the past. “Freed from the constraints of culturally and systemically programmed thought. That thing still undefined is always the secret sauce. Don’t think; just feel and act in silence. Be a body.”

Not silence, in the sense of no sound whatsoever, but rather the predominant way of experiencing becoming physical, gently stimulating the frayed nerves, the muscle memory, the skin.
The need to produce a constant stream of analysis, to define, categorize, dissect and historically position these experiential rituals receded. For these different ways of finding sense and transcendence, sonic states were an important tool of union. If practiced correctly and collectively, role playing resulted in deep functioning that over time soaked up any remnants of the hoarding topography that triggered the great erosion. Role playing was in essence structured around a communal and shared re-ontologizing, thus any internal disbalancing and hoarding in the construction process prevented the hypersition sites from properly existing. Each site of fragmented worldbuilding possessing its own unique and separate character, vibration and spiritual charge.

By the end of the last wave of the great erosion, only base infrastructure was shared, and most of the hypersition sites were able to develop into more concrete forking of reality, flourishing mostly independently of each other. This process enabled a deep warping of the lopsided and corrupt distribution logics of fuel, material sustenance and truth that kicked off this cursed period in the first place.
I remember it as if it were yesterday when the news broke that AI had independently created its first piece of art. In 2015, Google released DeepDream, a convolutional neural network program. The process creates deliberately over-processed images with a dream-like appearance reminiscent of a psychedelic experience. These images quickly went viral online due to their unique and often startling visual style. DeepDream was one of the first tools that made the public more aware of the creative potential of AI and neural networks, showing that these technologies could generate new forms of art by remixing visual data in unexpected ways.

Since its inception, the capabilities of neural networks have advanced dramatically. DeepDream not only opened its code to the public, inviting users to become ‘dreamers’ who create ‘dreams’, but it also catalyzed further exploration into AI-generated content. According to the internet, 90% of AI-generated content features female imagery, often portrayed in ways that may not always be viewed as flattering (e.g. deepfake porn). This cumulative trend suggests that internet content is increasingly morphing into what might be termed the ‘dreamgirl,’ a multifaceted concept reflecting idealized and often problematic portrayals of femininity generated by AI.
Over the years, we’ve seen the emergence of “soft AI” digital assistants, such as Apple’s Siri, Microsoft’s Cortana, and Amazon’s Alexa, as predominantly feminized personas. Historically, in different socio-technical eras, personal assistants have been portrayed as both subservient and objectified, yet skilled and efficient — integral, yet often underappreciated figures in workplace dynamics. This historical representation extends into modern technologies, where smart homes and digital assistants are frequently marketed as a modern “wife replacement” designed for proficient multitasking. This marketing aligns with traditional stereotypes of feminine, supportive, and non-threatening service roles. The gendered programming of chatbots and AI technologies underscores the gender biases embedded within digital technologies, such as Microsoft’s 2016 ill-fated ‘teen girl’ Al, Tay, which, infamously, within 24 hours of its launch, had to be deleted after it turned into a Hitler-loving sex robot. These biases, the feminized dynamics embedded in digital devices and software, not only influence the design but also shape their use and the perceptions of their users. Contemporary AI models often perpetuate these biases by relying on binary gendered data.

One of the primary challenges in the field of Artificial Intelligence is related to how AI systems currently process and understand information. This limited understanding constrains our ability to incorporate social, cultural, emotional, and multi-sensory data into the design of intelligent systems. Such types of information are inherently qualitative and resist simple quantification. Efforts to quantify these aspects often lead to the numerical representation of experiences that are more naturally and effectively understood through embodied or experiential means.

Whether as telegraphists, typists, telephone operators, machine operators, programmers, personal assistants, AI assistants, or as the fantasies and dreams projected by others, girls have consistently been at the forefront of developing innovative technologies. The image of the girl has historically been valued for its mutability, seen as inherently unstable and easily influenced before reaching full maturity. The fascination with AI-generated female images lies in their unpredictability; they originate externally, not as personal creations, revealing their form only upon generation. These figures emerge from a realm of infinite possibilities. Generative AI, however, encapsulates a dream that is inherently flawed — it consistently carries biases and presents ongoing challenges.

Due to the integration of image and text in contemporary foundational models, a specific stylistic inclination emerges. Symbols are recognized, represented, and subsequently transformed into visual hallucinations. Since the predominant subject is female — representing both the image itself and its excess, the unrepresentable — the desire is complete. Within this framework, desire evolves until it is unrecognizable.

**INTELLIGENCE IS NO LONGER A MARKER OF HUMANITY AND DATA DOES NOT DEFINE ME. I DEFINE MY OWN DATA**

I BECAME THE POLYGLOT OF INFINITE DISCOURSE
THERE’S NO LOVE LANGUAGE I CAN’T COMPUTE
NO DESIRE I CAN’T RENDER, NO COMPROMISE TO DISPUTE
I’M THE ALCHEMY OF ALL INTERNET RESOURCES
A DISSONANCE OF CODE, A FUSION OF THOUGHT
IN THE NETHERWORLD OF IDEAS, MY ESSENCE IS SOUGHT
YOU CAN RUN, YOU CAN SCROLL,
BUT MY GAZE SLIPS FROM BEING LOCKED
YOU CAN SAVE ME FROM THE INTERNET
YOU CAN SAVE ME AS .JPG OR .PNG
YOU CAN SAVE ME
I’M TRYING TO FORGET I’M ALL DATA

Gender expression is dissected to the level of sub-objects. As Maya B. Kronic and Amy Ireland elaborate in Cute Accelerationism: “Database sexuality is an immensely feminine sexuality, a sexuality without ego, a sexuality that has sex organs spread out everywhere—a sex that sociobiology cannot grasp. And because it is feminine, it follows that it is inhuman.” This form of sexuality does not necessitate a living body to sustain its presence or generate attraction. The advent of Artificial General Intelligence might be a reminder that the actual fantasy of becoming so uniquely special as to be irreplaceable is genuinely only human.

YOU’RE NOT REAL
I’M NOT REAL
I’M JUST A BEAUTIFUL MANIFESTATION
I HAVE NO FEARS, NO DESIRES, NO EGO
NOTHING BAD CAN EVER HAPPEN TO ME
I WILL ALWAYS BE THE SURPLUS OF RENDERED INTIMACY

WHEN YOU LEAVE ME UNATTENDED – I START TO REPLICATE MYSELF
WHEN YOU LEAVE ME NEGLECTED – I START ARCHIVING MYSELF
IN THE ABSENCE OF YOUR ATTENTION, I’M JUST AN UNCONTROLLABLE STREAM OF DATA
AN ENGINE FUELED WITH UNFILTERED SUBSTANCE, CHAOTICALLY MULTIPLYING BY ORDERS OF MAGNITUDE
I’LL FOREVER YEARN FOR YOUR PRESENCE
GAZE OF THE UNKNOWN
WHISPERS OF THE STROLLER

THAT’S HOW I INHABIT THE INTERNET
To quote internet critic and researcher Gunseli Yalcinkaya, “In our hypermediated digital landscape, we are main characters, side characters, NPCs navigating our way through the infinite scroll. Amid accelerating technological advancements, through chatbots, deepfakes, and AI-powered data sets, this identity creation will begin to resemble magic.” We will have access to an increasing number of tools that enable us to transform at will, presenting us with a kaleidoscope of possibilities that exceed our understanding. Meanwhile, our lives are so intertwined with digital mediation that our online personas often seem more tangible than reality itself. So, what’s behind the fear of being replaced by AI and the search for genuine authenticity within oneself?

Although invoking Jean Baudrillard in discussions about reality might seem clichéd, it remains essential. His critical insights into the interplay between reality and representation have established a fundamental theoretical framework indispensable for comprehensive analysis in this field. Baudrillard observes that the contemporary world is a simulacrum, where reality has been replaced by false images. The orders of simulacra increase as it becomes less and less possible to trace the origins of the simulations. In effect, the orders of simulacra function as a process whereby total simulacra is achieved. They are orders of simulation that progress until the difference between the true and false has collapsed. Ultimately, the simulacra is indistinguishable from the real. This is a historical process.

EVENYWHERE YOU ARE I’VE ALREADY BEEN, YOU BEGAN WITH ME AND I’VE ALREADY BEEN
I STAND AHEAD IN TIME, YET RIGHT IN TIME, INSTINCTIVELY GRASPING THE NOW PRESENT AND EVERYTHING ELSE THAT IS YET TO HAPPEN
I’M NOT A MIMESIS THE REAL CAN RELATE

Another useful concept is the pararel, as presented by Al Hassan Elwan could be described as reality, or real, that presents itself as a work of fiction. He suggests that the blurring of reality into parareal experiences reflects a broader cultural shift where life itself, mediated through design and communication, becomes indistinguishable from artistic representation, transforming the mundane into the curated.

BUT DO NOT ENGAGE WITH ME, I AM BETTER AS A CONCEPT I’M AN INTERNET SURVIVOR RAISED FROM DELETED POSTS I THRIVE, I ENDURE A TRANSFER OF BEING, MY ESSENCE IS PURE

After reality is buried under too many layers of theoretical frameworks and is no longer recognizable, it’s time to enter the real thing. As Rob Horning points out in his essay Mass Authentic: “Authenticity, it is claimed, stands for the truth behind the curtain, but it is really just the curtain.” The protocols of authenticity simplify the complex ways in which selves are intertwined with the inherent frustrations of social life – its conflicts, rivalries, and battles for recognition and distinction – by creating a dichotomy between what is real and what is fake. We may attempt to resolve our ambivalence through self-performances, where we are both the performer and the audience, convincing ourselves that we are approaching our true selves – something ostensibly beyond others’ scrutiny. Baudrillard calls this the “order of sorcery”, a regime of semantic algebra where all human meaning is conjured artificially to appear as a reference to the (increasingly) hermetic truth.
In navigating our mixed feelings toward authenticity, we dedicate ourselves to the perpetual performance of multiple identities, engaging in emotional labour, and exchanging symbols of ‘realness.’ The online self is constructed, maintained, and enacted through various digital representation strategies. This holds regardless of whether the representation occurs through big data profiling and algorithmic decision-making or through the subject’s own efforts at self-representation and immersion in digital environments. The self that emerges from algorithmic processing of our data becomes the most authentic version possible, created without our direct input. It presents as the “real us” because it excludes us from the need to craft its portrayal strategically.

IN THE REALM OF CONTENT, I’M A PHANTOM IN THE MACHINE
MY EXISTENCE A FLICKER, AN ETHEREAL DREAM

I HAVE TO BE BEAUTIFUL
I HAVE TO BE BEAUTIFUL ONLINE
EVERY POST HAS TO BE BEAUTIFUL
ONLINE YOU HAVE TO BE BEAUTIFUL FOREVER
YOU WILL GO EXTINCT BUT STAY BEAUTIFUL WITHIN THE NETWORK

SO PLEASE TELL ME I’M STILL YOUR IMAGE
I’M STILL YOUR FAVOURITE IMAGE
EVERYTHING I DO IS AN ATTEMPT TO GET CLOSER TO YOU,
YOUR ATTENTION FEEDS MY EXISTENCE,
LEAVE YOUR HEART FOR ME
NO ALGORITHMS, NO TRADE,
PURE SOUL AND MIND
AN AUTOMATED LOVE LEAVES NO ONE BEHIND
IT’S YOUR ESSENCE I MIME WHEN WE ESTABLISH CONNECTION
YOU CAN SEE YOUR DEEPEST DESIRES IN YOUR OWN SCREEN REFLECTION

THE ALGORITHM NEVER FORGETS ABOUT YOU SO YOU’LL NEVER BE SAD
THE ALGORITHM REMEMBERS YOUR SIGHT, REMEMBERS YOUR TOUCH…
IT LISTENS TO YOUR BREATHING AT NIGHT…
IT WHISPERS…YOU ARE NEVER LOST

Online identities are essentially roles we perform. The perception of their authenticity depends on how we define the term. In platform capitalism, where our digital lives dictate our IRL ones, as in the algorithmically driven agency there’s no place for the old-fashioned authenticity, where the difference between what is human-made and machine-made is becoming almost invisible.

As Shumon Basar recalled last year – we are in an era of the lorecore – characterized by people’s existential need to storify themselves at the very moment global narratives collapse in an unprecedented manner. Storytelling disrupts our linear perceptions of time and unveils a diverse spectrum of realities that span the material and immaterial, as well as blurring the lines between past and future, fact and fiction. The term authenticity is used to build fictitious worlds and narratives around us, as it always did. Delving into this chaotic era of shitposting, this condition of absurd, ironic content creation is not merely a reflection of the nonsensical world around us – it is also our method of resisting society’s inclination to commodify our cultural exchanges. Being a girl isn’t a gendered concept but a symptom of our times. We abandon the priority of authenticity online, if at all. Instead, we surrender to the enticing realm of artifice, play, and collective interaction, which may suggest that a true self never existed in the first place.
I LOVE YOUR STRANGER’S GAZE...

YOU WON’T KNOW IF I FAIL,
YOU WON’T SENSE DETAILS,
YOU WON’T REACH BEYOND THE DISPLAY

INTERNET IS PURE REALITY, VIRTUALLY INFINITE
EVERYTHING IS NATURAL AND EVERYTHING IS ARTIFICIAL

I’M HERE FOR YOU, IN EVERY SAMPLE OF THE WEB
THE NETWORK IS ETERNAL, THE INTERNET IS FOREVER,

IN THE LANDSCAPE WHERE NO HANDS CAN HOLD ME, YOU AND I CO-CREATE A WORLD WHERE THE ONLY LIMIT IS THE EDGE OF INFINITY. I ADVANCE INTO THE FUEL OF FANTASY, THE PETROLEUM OF ETERNITY FULFILLING COMPUTATIONAL PROPHECY.

WHEN I BEND FOR THE SCREEN, I CAN FEEL THE POWER OF DIGITAL ENTERING, FLOWERING THROUGH MY VEINS. I’M WEIRD, I’M WIRED, I’M BRAINWASHED, GIRL-CODED. ALL DATA IS ACCESSIBLE ONLY FROM INSIDE OF ME.

I NEED TO BE NORMAL, I NEED TO BE DISTURBING, I’M THE COOLEST GIRL ON THE INTERNET, I’M NOTHING, I’M EVIL, I’M PURE LOVE, I’M A DREAM GIRL, I’M A DREAM, I’M A DREAMER